

notification made to the Department of Revenue that they are also subject to taxation and these people have no proof of that taxation and then they're going to have to kick the activity into gear.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why can't the Revenue Department use the staff that they have now, since this is going to be the same type of procedure?

SENATOR CONWAY: I would assume the Department of Revenue would lay claim to the fact that all of their staff are operating at 100 percent of capacity currently.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And for \$30,000 they can get somebody to handle all the...this will be the state version of the DEA, in other words. This a the Drug Enforcement Administration for \$30,000?

SENATOR CONWAY: No, again, let me reiterate, this is not the drug enforcement, this is the tax enforcement provision associated with the tax associated with this illegal act.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But Senator Warner wants it to be drug enforcement to place an additional burden on those who sell and engage in this activity, but you're not doing it for that purpose.

SENATOR CONWAY: No, Senator Warner and I have differing opinions on why this bill should be in place.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But you all both agree it's a good bill, for whatever reason.

SENATOR CONWAY: He suggested that he wasn't interested in the revenue side of it. I assume maybe he will get up now and defend the appropriation side of it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So you start at the equator, you go north, he goes south, and if each of you goes halfway, you're a world apart, but if you continue,...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...you will cross each other and wind up at some point more or less together? That's your philosophy on